This scorecard analyzes local cannabis ordinances passed prior to January 1, 2022, in each California city or county that legalized retail sales only by delivery, to assess policies in effect going into 2022. It evaluates to what extent potential best practices were adopted to protect youth, reduce problem cannabis use and promote social equity beyond those already in state law. Scores fall into six public health and equity focused categories for a total maximum of 100 points.

### RETAILER REQUIREMENTS
- **Require local permit (max. 12 pts)**: 0
- **Medical delivery sales allowed (3 pts)**: 3
- **Use of Independent ID Verification Software (10 pts)**: 0
- **Limit delivery destinations (max. 10 pts)**: 10
- **Health warnings handed out (4 pts)**: 0

### TAXES & PRICES
- **Local retail tax (6 pts)**: 0
- **Revenue dedicated to youth, prevention or equity (6 pts)**: 0
- **Tax by THC content (5 pts)**: 0
- **Minimum price (1 pt)**: 0

### PRODUCT LIMITS
- **Limit high potency products (max. 6 pts)**: 0
- **No flavored products for combustion or inhalation (max. 5 pts)**: 0
- **No cannabis-infused beverages (4 pts)**: 0
- **Limit other products/packaging attractive to youth (2 pts)**: 0

### MARKETING
- **Limit billboards (max. 6 pts)**: 0
- **Prohibit temporary event permits (5 pts)**: 0
- **Prominent health warnings on ads (4 pts)**: 0
- **Limit therapeutic or health claims (3 pts)**: 0
- **Business signage restrictions (3 pts)**: 0
- **Limit marketing attractive to youth (2 pts)**: 0

### SMOKE-FREE AIR
- **Prohibit discounting (2 pts)**: 0
- **Limit therapeutic or health claims (3 pts)**: 0
- **Business signage restrictions (3 pts)**: 0
- **Limit marketing attractive to youth (2 pts)**: 0

### EQUITY & CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
- **Licensing priority for equity applicants (3 pts)**: 0
- **Equity in hiring requirements (3 pts)**: 0
- **Cost deferrals for equity applicants (1 pt)**: 0
- **No prescriber in ownership (1 pt)**: 0

### TOTAL SCORE = 13