This scorecard analyzes local cannabis ordinances **passed prior to January 1, 2022**, in each California city or county that legalized storefront retail sales, to assess policies in effect going into 2022. It evaluates to what extent potential **best practices** were adopted to protect youth, reduce problem cannabis use and promote social equity beyond those already in state law. Scores fall into six public health and equity focused categories for a total maximum of 100 points.

### Placerville

#### Retailer Requirements
- **Limit # of retailers (max. 10 pts)**: 0
- **Require distance >600 ft. from schools (5 pts)**: -5
- **Require distance between retailers (2 pts)**: 0
- **Other location restrictions (max. 3 pts)**: 0
- **Health warnings posted in store (4 pts)**: 0
- **Health warnings handed out (4 pts)**: 0

#### Taxes & Prices
- **Local retail tax (6 pts)**: 6
- **Revenue dedicated to youth, prevention or equity (max. 6 pts)**: 3
- **Tax by THC content (5 pts)**: 0
- **Prohibit discounting (2 pts)**: 0
- **Minimum price (1 pt)**: 0

#### Product Limits
- **Limit high potency products (max. 6 pts)**: 0
- **No flavored products for combustion or inhalation (max. 5 pts)**: 0
- **No cannabis-infused beverages (4 pts)**: 0
- **Limit other products/packaging attractive to youth (2 pts)**: 0

#### Marketing
- **Limit billboards (max. 6 pts)**: 6
- **Prominent health warnings on ads (4 pts)**: 0
- **Limit therapeutic or health claims (3 pts)**: 0
- **Business signage restrictions (3 pts)**: 0
- **Limit marketing attractive to youth (2 pts)**: 0

#### Smoke-Free Air
- **Prohibit temporary event permits (5 pts)**: 0
- **Prohibit on-site consumption (3 pts)**: 3

#### Equity & Conflicts of Interest
- **Licensing priority for equity applicants (3 pts)**: 0
- **Equity in hiring requirements (3 pts)**: 0
- **Cost deferrals for equity applicants (1 pt)**: 0
- **No prescriber on retail premises (1 pt)**: 1
- **No prescriber in ownership (1 pt)**: 0

**Total Score = 17**